

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	ORDER AFTER POST-TERMINATION REVIEW/ PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 1 ORDER ____ OF ____	CASE NO. PETITION NO.
---	---	--------------------------

Court address

Court telephone no.



- In the matter of name(s), alias(es), DOB _____
- Date of hearing: _____ Judge/Referee: _____ Bar no. _____
- Last permanency planning hearing date: _____ (specify for each child if different)
- Parental rights to the above named child(ren) was/were previously terminated.
- Notice of hearing for the review permanency planning combined review and permanency planning hearing was served as required by law.
- The court has considered the permanency plan and other evidence presented. The findings below are specific to this case and are based upon this hearing, and the following report(s): _____
identify report(s) and date(s) of report(s)

THE COURT FINDS:

- A review permanency planning combined review and permanency planning hearing was conducted.
- The lawyer-guardian ad litem has has not complied with the requirements of MCL 712A.17d.
- Reasonable efforts have have not been made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan of
 - legal guardianship for the child(ren) named _____.
 - adoption for the child(ren) named _____.
 - placement with a fit and willing relative for the child(ren) named _____.
 - placement in another planned permanent living arrangement, identified as _____, due to the compelling reasons that
(provide the name of each child and then specify the compelling reasons for another planned permanent living arrangement for each child, as appropriate, by entering the language that corresponds to the number[s] from the list on page 2)

The reasonable efforts made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan identified above include:
(specify the permanency plan for each child and the reasonable efforts made toward finalizing that plan)

- 10. The appointment of a juvenile guardian is in the best interest of the child(ren) named above in item 9a. The court has received and considered the information required by MCR 3.979(A)(1) and (3) and the proposed guardian should be appointed.**
- ~~10.~~ 11. Progress toward the child(ren)'s adoption or other permanent placement was was not made in a timely manner.
- ~~11.~~ 12. The child(ren)'s continued placement is necessary and appropriate and is meeting the child(ren)'s needs. is no longer necessary or appropriate.
- ~~12.~~ 13. The permanency planning goal is is not appropriate.
- 14. A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction over _____ pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated.**
- 15. A juvenile guardianship for _____ was revoked pursuant to MCR 3.979(F) and this hearing is held pursuant to MCR 3.979(F)(7). The child(ren) is/are committed to the Department of Human Services for permanency planning, supervision, care, and placement under MCL 400.203.**

(SEE SECOND PAGE)

USE NOTE:

Use this form for post-termination review hearings, post-termination permanency planning hearings, or a combination of both in accordance with MCL 712A.19c.

Do not write below this line - For court use only

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	ORDER AFTER POST-TERMINATION REVIEW/ PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS), PAGE 2 ORDER ____ OF ____	CASE NO. PETITION NO.
---	---	--------------------------

Court address

Court telephone no.



In the matter of

IT IS ORDERED:

- 13. The child(ren)'s commitment to the Department of Human Services for permanency planning, supervision, care, and placement **16. under MCL 400.203 continues.**
 - The Department shall*
 - a. *conduct a criminal record check and central registry clearance of the residents of the home of the proposed juvenile guardian and submit the results to the court within 7 days.*
 - b. *perform a home study with a copy submitted to the court within 28 days, unless a home study has been performed within the immediately preceding 365 days of this order, in which case, a copy of that study shall be submitted to the court.*
 - c. *seek the written consent for the appointment of the proposed juvenile guardian from the superintendent of the Michigan Children's Institute, to be filed with the court within 28 days.*
 - The child(ren) shall be placed under juvenile guardianship pursuant to MCR 3.979(B). (See separate order, form JC 91.)*
- 14. 17. The Department of Human Services shall make reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan for each child.
- 15. 18. The child(ren) has/have been adopted and the jurisdiction of this court is terminated.
- 16. 19. The jurisdiction of this court is terminated due to the child(ren)'s age(s).
- 20. The jurisdiction of this court is terminated pursuant to MCL 712A.19c(9) and MCR 3.979(C).
- 17. 21. Other:
- 18. 22. Review hearings shall be held as follows: (NOTE: The review hearing shall not be delayed beyond the number of days required regardless whether another matter is pending. MCL 712A.19a provides that the permanency planning hearing shall not be delayed beyond 12 months from the date of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.)
 - post-termination review hearing _____ permanency planning hearing _____

The supervising agency shall provide documentation of progress relating to all aspects of the last court-ordered treatment plan, including copies of evaluations and therapy reports and verification of parenting time not later than 5 business days before the scheduled hearing.

 - dispositional review hearing to terminate jurisdiction pursuant to MCR 3.979(C)** _____
- 23. **A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian pursuant to MCR 3.979(B) shall be held** _____ (no later than 35 days)

- 19. Notice of the next hearing has been provided as required by law. Notice of the next hearing shall be provided.
- 24.

Recommended by: _____
Referee signature

Date

Judge

The following are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than return to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.

1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
3. The child has a significant attachment to the parent(s), and it is in the child's best interests that it be preserved through parenting time and contact.
4. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
5. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
6. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
7. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
8. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
9. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
10. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
11. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
12. The child is under the Indian Child Welfare Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
13. Other (specify in the findings in item 9d).