

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT



Office of Public Information

contact: Marcia McBrien | (517) 373-0129

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT HOLDS PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE TOMORROW

LANSING, MI, December 14, 2010 – A proposal to allow electronic filing of legal documents with the Macomb County Circuit Court is on the Michigan Supreme Court’s [public administrative conference](#) agenda tomorrow.

The proposal (ADM File No. 2002-37) would allow the Macomb court to implement a pilot e-filing project, similar to those operating in Eaton, Midland, and Oakland circuit courts. The Macomb pilot project would eventually expand to include filings in all civil and criminal cases, according to the 16th Circuit Court’s proposal. The Supreme Court will consider whether to approve the circuit court’s plan. The Court recently approved an e-filing pilot project for the 13th Circuit Court, comprised of Grand Traverse, Antrim, and Leelanau counties.

The public conference will take place in the courtroom on the 6th floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice at 925 West Ottawa Street in Lansing, beginning at 9:30 a.m.

Also on the Court’s conference agenda:

- ADM File No. 2010-01, appointment to the Attorney Grievance Commission.
- ADM File No. 2010-01, appointments to the Committee on Model Civil Jury Instructions.
- ADM File No. 2010-01, appointment of chief judge of the 48th Circuit Court.
- ADM File Nos. 2005-14 and 2007-29, proposed amendment of Rule 3.207 of the Michigan Court Rules. *Whether to publish for comment a proposed amendment of MCR 3.207 and a proposed uniform property restraining order as submitted by the Family Law Section of the State Bar of Michigan.*
- ADM File No. 2008-18, proposed amendment of Rule 3.501 of the Michigan Court Rules. *Whether to publish for comment a proposed amendment of MCR 3.501(B) to create an explicit requirement that a change in circumstances must have occurred to allow a party to file a supplemental motion for certification of a class, and the motion must be filed within 21 days of the party’s knowledge of the changed circumstances.*
- ADM File No. 2008-28, proposed amendment of Rule 6.005(H)(3) of the Michigan Court Rules. *Whether to publish for comment a proposed amendment of MCR 6.005(H)(3) to require that, when responding to a prosecutor’s interlocutory appeal, defense counsel shall either file a substantive brief or communicate to the Court of Appeals that s/he will not be filing a brief in response to the application.*

- ADM File No. 2009-20, proposed amendments of Rule 3 and Rule 5 of the Rules of the Board of Law Examiners. *Whether to publish for comment a proposed amendment submitted by the State Bar of Michigan that would clarify that a former member of the Michigan bar who resigned may apply for readmission without examination under BLE Rule 5 if the former member meets the rule's requirements.*
- ADM File No. 2009-24, discrepancies in civil and criminal law regarding venue. *Whether to recommend legislative action, or propose a court rule amendment, to resolve procedures related to challenges of improper venue, particularly in a criminal case.*
- ADM File No. 2009-25, amendment of Rule 8.120 of the Michigan Court Rules. *Consideration of the recent amendment of MCR 8.120, specifically the requirement for written consent from an indigent person.*
- ADM File No. 2009-29, proposed amendment of Rule 5.306 of the Michigan Court Rules. *Whether to publish for comment a proposed amendment of MCR 5.306 that would eliminate the requirement to include the decedent's last known street address from the information contained on the notice to creditors.*
- ADM File No. 2010-05, proposed revision of Rule 2.112 and Rule 7.206 of the Michigan Court Rules. *Whether to publish for comment several rule amendments suggested by the Legislative Commission on Statutory Mandates related to Headlee actions.*

Public administrative conferences agendas may be viewed online at
<http://www.courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/AdminConf.htm>.

-- MSC --