

# Order

Michigan Supreme Court  
Lansing, Michigan

October 26, 2010

Marilyn Kelly,  
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2007-17

Michael F. Cavanagh  
Maura D. Corrigan  
Robert P. Young, Jr.  
Stephen J. Markman  
Diane M. Hathaway  
Alton Thomas Davis,  
Justices

Proposed Amendment of  
Rule 8.121 of the Michigan  
Court Rules

---

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering an amendment of Rule 8.121 of the Michigan Court Rules. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter also will be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for public hearings are posted at [www.courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt](http://www.courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt).

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions are indicated by underlining and deletions are indicated by strikeover.]

Rule 8.121 Contingent Fees in Claims or Actions for Personal Injury ~~and~~ Wrongful Death, and No-Fault Benefits

(A) Allowable Contingent Fee Agreements. In any claim or action for personal injury or wrongful death based upon the alleged conduct of another or for no-fault benefits, in which an attorney enters into an agreement, expressed or implied, whereby the attorney's compensation is dependent or contingent in whole or in part upon successful prosecution or settlement or upon the amount of recovery, the receipt, retention, or sharing by such attorney, pursuant to agreement or otherwise, of compensation which is equal to or less than the fee stated in subrule (B) is deemed to be fair and reasonable. The receipt, retention, or sharing of compensation which is in excess of such a fee shall be deemed to be the charging of a "clearly excessive fee" in violation of MRPC 1.5(a).

(B)-(G)[Unchanged.]

Staff Comment: This proposed amendment of MCR 8.121 was submitted to the Court to address a situation in which attorneys charge more than the one-third contingency fee that is the allowable fee limit charged in wrongful death and personal injury actions.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on these proposals may be sent to the Supreme Court Clerk in writing or electronically by February 1, 2011, at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909, or [MSC\\_clerk@courts.mi.gov](mailto:MSC_clerk@courts.mi.gov). When filing a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2007-17. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted at [www.courts.mi.gov/supremecourt/resources/administrative/index.htm](http://www.courts.mi.gov/supremecourt/resources/administrative/index.htm).



I, Corbin R. Davis, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

October 26, 2010

*Corbin R. Davis*

Clerk