2003-22

June 1

TO: Office of the Clerk
From: Harry Sullivan

Dear Clerk,

The recent article in the Detroit Free Press on the support program hits home. One related fact and a few opinions.

On health insurance; my ex and daughter live out of state. Yet according to court I need health insurance on her. My ex or custodial parent has coverage yet the company I'm with doesn't even cover her in her home st. How stupid to be forced into coverage that has no effect on her.

Also, when overnights are with non-custodial parent why shouldn't the abatement be higher like 75% instead of 50%. The support is to support the child yet the custodial parent can spend it on whatever they desire! Items not pertainable to the child yet no control for them? As the ex says; I can't live on it! — Well, it's for the support of the child!

Yes, there should be revisions. After 2½ years I know. Also, what other plans if a child is out of state? There needs to be guaranteed visitation and not the excuses from an ex.

Truly,

Harry Sullivan

RECEIVED
JUN 19 2003
S. CORP...