4-28-04

RECEIVED
MAY 3 - 2004
CORBIN DAVIS
CLERK SUPREME COURT

Dear Justices

Im writing you with the concerns of the amendments to Michigan Court rules.

First, I would like to address the one (1) year Statue of Limitation under Proposed 6.508 (E).

The new proposed time limitation is unnecessary and unreasonable. Which on the other hand put so much turmoil and hardship on inmates who dont have the funds or do ~~than~~ their family and friends but both need time to come up with funds to get a lawyer that most definite will be better than the court-appoint attorney assign by the court to file your appeal by right.

We need time to retain a good lawyer that will help you get some kind of Justice. At the relief from Judgment stage its allmost your last

short any kind of relief. This is simply unfair! I do not believe that you want us to pick between the federal relief or a Motion for Relief or do both simultaneously. And what the inmates who inmate of one year have already elapsed? Can they still have a year to file.

As I read 6.508(D) the only way you can get some relief is to be actually innocent of the offense. If a judge goes out side of the sentencing guidelines because he believes that they are inadequate because he thinks that nobody can put a guidelines on persons life. What does his opinion have to do with the guideline? If you depart out of the guidelines you must give a reason that will accompany the crime. but under this new statue this issue you would be a valid sentence

Now how can me or my attorney argue my issues with only twenty-five pages. Under the current rule relief is extremely difficult and rare. I need to be able to argue and address every issue in my so I can get some kind of relief. If I'm forced to only be able to put a couple of issue then where does that leave the rest of the errors

in my post-conviction remedies? Justice; what is fair and right. Can we get that with these new proposed amendments?

Then how can want let the prosecutor withhold police reports and witness statements relevant to a trial. So how can an attorney prepare defense ~~the~~ for all the evidence that is against the defendant if he is absent & certain statements and reports.

I really think the Public should be aware of these proposed amendment. These changes will cost everybody in the long run. I know what health is to the body; honesty is to the soul. So with that are these changes for the better or the worst?

Sincerely,

Derrick Hampton

P.S. Justice must be preserved at all cost

224610

17601 MOUND RD

DETROIT 48212