PCS Code: IDR/SRE/PPH-ORH/OPP

TCS Code: SRE/PPH

## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## ORDER AFTER DISPOSITIONAL REVIEW/ CASE NO.

COUNTY	PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS)	PETITION NO.
	ORDER OF	JUDGE
Court address		Court telephone no.
In the matter of	(es)	
1. Date of hearing:	Judge/Referee:	
2. Removal date:	(Specify fo	r each child if different.)
Last permanency planning heari	ng date: (Specify fo	r each child if different.)
	amed above was/were in the protective/temp	orary custody of the court, and
4. Notice of hearing for the ☐ revine hearing was served as required by		
	under MCR 3.974(D)(2) for an Indian child wlass held with this hearing. $\square$ was pre-	
THE COURT FINDS: 6. The lawyer-guardian ad litem	$\square$ has $\square$ has not $\square$ complied with the red	quirements of MCL 712A.17d.
7. $\square$ a. There is probable cause to be (Name each child, his/her father, and	elieve the legal/putative father(s) is/are: whether legal or putative.)	
$\square$ c. The putative father was notifie	is used as required by law and failed to establish parights to further notice, including the right to r	aternity within the time set by the court.
8. The court has considered the case	service plan and other evidence presented. Ting and the following report(s):	The findings below are specific to this art(s) and date(s) of report(s)
Specific conditions reviewed on the	record as required by MCL 712A.19(6) were	
a. compliance with the case service	e plan with respect to services provided or offe whether the parent(s), guardian, or legal custometrics.	red to the child and his or her parent(s),
<ul> <li>b. compliance with the case service occur or was infrequent and the</li> </ul>	e plan with respect to parenting time with the creasons why.	hild and whether parenting time did not

- c. the extent to which the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian complied with each provision of the case service plan, prior court orders, and any agreement between the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian and the agency.
- d. likely harm to the child if the child continued to be separated from his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.
- e. likely harm to the child if the child was returned to his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.

Note: If it comes to the court's attention or new allegations are made during this hearing that require the removal of the child(ren), removal must be done in accordance with MCR 3.974.

Approved, SCAO Form JC 19, Rev. 12/22 MCL 712A.17d(1)(c), MCL 712A.18f, MCL 712A.19, MCL 712A.19a, MCL 712A.20, MCL 712B.9, MCL 722.123a, MCR 3.002, MCR 3.921, MCR 3.972(A), MCR 3.974(A)(3), MCR 3.975, MCR 3.976, MCR 3.979 Page 1 of 5

Use Note: Do not use this form for review or permanency planning hearings after termination. Use form JC 76 instead.

Reference Note: The term "department" refers to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.

Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (Child Protective Proceedings)	(12/22)	Case No.
Page 2 of 5		
<ul> <li>9. Returning the child(ren) to the parent(s), guardian, of substantial risk of harm to the child(ren)'s life, physical 10. The child(ren) should not be returned to the parent 10.</li> </ul>	cal health, or mental well-being.	
below.)	erit(s), guardiari, or legal custoc	narr. (State reasons for a. or b. in the space
☐ a. The agency ☐ should ☐ should not because:	initiate proceedings to termina	te the parental rights to the child(ren)
<ul> <li>□ b. The child has been in foster care for 15 mo</li> <li>□ should initiate proceedings to terminate</li> <li>□ should not initiate proceedings to terminate reasons:</li> </ul>	the parental rights to the child(	ren).
☐ 11. ☐ a. Reasonable efforts ☐ were ☐ were not child(ren) to safely return to the child(ren)'s ☐ 1) Reasonable efforts for reunification s ☐ 2) Those reasonable efforts were successions.	s home. (Specify reasonable efforts be should be continued.	elow, and if applicable, the reasons for return.)
Name(s) of parent(s), guardian, or legal custo	dian	<u> </u>
The reasonable efforts include: (Specify.)		
<ul> <li>□ b. Reasonable efforts to preserve and reunify the child(ren)'s home are not required base</li> <li>□ 12. In accordance with MCL 712A.19(7), progress to child(ren) to be placed or to remain in temporary</li> </ul>	ed on a prior order. oward alleviating or mitigating tl	, ,
List names		·
☐ was not made by		
	essary and appropriate and is n	, ,
the most effective and appropriate level of ca with the goals in the permanency plan for the ☐ can be met in a foster family home, placemen	re for the child in the least restri child. nt of the child in a qualified resion	ictive environment, and is consistent dential treatment program does not
provide the most effective and appropriate level consistent with the goals in the permanency		st restrictive environment, and is not

	ter Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (12/22) rotective Proceedings) f 5	Case No	
☐ 16. <sup>-</sup>	The child(ren) is/are Indian as defined in MCR 3.002(12) appropriate and  does does not comply of the child(ren) is/are Indian and the court finds that active remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to *Reasonable efforts have have not been mad a. return to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian for b. adoption for the child(ren) named c. legal guardianship for the child(ren) named d. placement with a fit and willing relative for the child(ren) e. placement in another planned permanent living arra	with MCR 3.967(F). efforts  have have not o prevent the breakup of the Indie to finalize the court-approved pthe child(ren) named	an family. permanency plan of
	due to the compelling reasons that: (Specify the compeentering the language that corresponds to the number[s] from the		nent living arrangement by
	The reasonable efforts made to finalize the court-approve (Specify the permanency plan for each child and the reasonable efforts		oove include:
☐ 18.	<ul> <li>□ Because adoption is the court-approved permanency p to terminate parental rights.</li> <li>The permanency planning goal in item 17</li> <li>□ is approximately in the permanency planning goal in item 17</li> </ul>	olan, the department shall be orde	
	The appointment of a juvenile guardian is in the best interchas received and considered the information required by appointed.		
□ 20.	The department, foster home, or institutional placement parenting standard that the child(ren) has/have regular op activities.		
□ 21.	<ul><li>□ a. All siblings are in joint placement.</li><li>□ b. All siblings are not in joint placement because:</li></ul>		
	Sibling contact  is occurring according to law.	$\overline{\ \ }$ is not occurring because (see it	em 31 to order sibling contact):
☐ <b>22</b> . I	Parenting time with	, even if supervised, may be	harmful to the child(ren).
	A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction over under MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated. (This finding is		
□ 24.	A juvenile guardianship for MCR 3.979(F), and this hearing is held under MCR 3.979	was ∉	revoked under

**Note:** \*MCL 712A.19a provides that these reasonable efforts findings must be made within 12 months from when the child was removed from his/her home and every 12 months thereafter.

Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing	(12/22)	Case No
(Child Protective Proceedings)		
Page 4 of 5 IT IS ORDERED:		
25. Notice is to be given to the legal/putative father(	s) as required by law	The father was not present and must
appear at the next hearing.   The putative father to the legal/putative fa		
14 days.	nor was present at this i	learing and shall establish paternity within
26. The child(ren) is/are continued in the protective/	temporary custody of th	is court and (Check only a h c ord)
a. is/are placed with the department for care		is court, and (offect only a, b, c, or a.)
1) the parent, guardian, or legal custodian	•	ents necessary to release confidential
information regarding the child(ren), inc		
		dress(es) of the medical provider(s) for the
	` ,	ne medical records of the child(ren) to the
department.	( )	
	eted, then one shall be r	performed by the department and a copy of
the home study submitted to the court n		
		the information concerning the child(ren) in
accordance with MCL 712A.13a(18).	·	• ,
	ssessment made by the	qualified individual, the child's placement in
a qualified residential treatment prog	gram □is □is not a	approved.
□ b. remain home with or is/are released to		under the
supervision of the department. $\square$ The fol	lowing terms and condit	ions apply to the parent(s) guardian/legal
custodian:		
$\square$ c. the current placement with the department	•	
		of the residents of the home of the proposed
juvenile guardian and submit the results		
2) perform a home study with a copy subm		
	ding 365 days of this ord	ler, in which case, a copy of that home study
shall be submitted to the court.	)70/D) (0	
d. placed under guardianship under MCR 3.9		
27. While the child(ren) is/are placed out of the home	, the mend of the court mi	ust take the necessary steps to appropriately
assign or redirect child support pursuant to law.  28. The department shall comply with MCR 3.967(F	:\	
29. The department shall initiate proceedings to term		the child(ren) no later than 28 days from the
date of this hearing.	illiate parental rights to	the child(reff) no later than 20 days from the
30. The adjudicated respondent(s)		
shall comply with, and benefit from, the case se		
☐ In addition,	vioo piari.	
☐ 31. Sibling contact shall be as follows:		
$\square$ 32. $\square$ a. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until furthe		
☐ The department has discretion to allow	unsupervised or supervi	ised parenting time by its designee.
☐ b. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until furthe	er order of the court	15
☐ The department has discretion to allow		ised parenting time by its designee
<u> </u>	•	
c. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until further	er order of the court.	
☐ The department has discretion to allow	unsupervised or supervi	ised parenting time by its designee.
$\square$ d.		

(Child P	fter Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (12/2 rotective Proceedings)	22) Case No
	ORDERED: (continued)	erves the right to enforce payments of reimbursement that have
	accrued up to and including the date of this order. The Previous reimbursement orders shall continue.  Other: (Attach separate sheets as necessary.)	ne child(ren) is/are released to
_ 00.	Curor. (Attach separate sheets as necessary.)	
		er of days required regardless whether a petition to terminate parental rights
	date of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.)  dispositional review hearing	
		on under MCR 3.979(C) of progress relating to all aspects of the last court-ordered herapy reports and verification of parenting time, no less
□ 38.	A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian under MCF	R 3.979(B) shall be held
□ 39.	$\square$ Notice of the next hearing has been provided as req	quired by law.  Notice of the next hearing shall be provided.
	Recommended by:	: Referee signature and date
		Referee signature and date
		Judge signature and date

The following are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than return to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.

- 1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
- 2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
- 3. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
- 4. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
- 5. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
- 6. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
- 7. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
- 8. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
- 9. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
- 10. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
- 11. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act and Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
- 12. Other (specify in the findings in item 17e).