

From: [Patricia Seraphin](#)
To: [ADMcomment](#)
Cc: [Sandra Dell](#); [Jenny Oldrham](#)
Subject: Comment to MCR proposed changes to 4.201
Date: Tuesday, November 1, 2022 11:17:12 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in response to the above proposal to MCR 4.201. I respectfully request that the proposed amendments to MCR 4.201 should be denied in their entirety and Administrative Order 2020-17 be rescinded.

As a landlord, my goal is to provide a property for rental and certify that the property was fit for the use intended.

I choose to utilize the court system when necessary for swift remediation. Any massive delay for an uncompromising tenant (such as their request for a jury trial at almost any time before the trial date) lends to unfair additional expenses to landlords and taxpayers.

Tenants should not have rights to possess property for more than 10 days because they claim to be seeking rental assistance. Tenants should be expected to uphold their contractual rental agreement. Courts are supposed to be fair and impartial and should also protect the rights of the homeowner.

I understand that the COVID pandemic caused for emergency measures to be taken on a temporary basis with respect to the court process, but these measures cost more and made it extremely difficult to exercise my property rights. They should not be continued on a permanent basis.

It is my hope that the SCAO's proposed amendments MCR 4.201 be denied in their entirety and Admirative Order 2020-17 be rescinded. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Patricia Seraphin