

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

November 20, 2024

Elizabeth T. Clement,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2024-33

Brian K. Zahra
David F. Viviano
Richard H. Bernstein
Megan K. Cavanagh
Elizabeth M. Welch
Kyra H. Bolden,
Justices

Amendment of Local Court
Rule 2.119 for the Ingham
County Circuit Court

On order of the Court, the following amendment of Local Court Rule 2.119 for the Ingham County Circuit Court is adopted, effective January 1, 2025.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

Rule 2.119 Motion Practice

- (1) A party or an attorney of record may secure a date for a hearing from the assigned judge's judicial assistant.
- (2) Any hearing time secured may be subject to cancellation without further notice if a motion is not filed and a copy sent to the office of the assigned judge within the time limits provided for in the Michigan Rules of Court.
- (3) Any matter requiring testimony or hearings of more than 15 minutes shall be scheduled with the assigned judge's judicial assistant and may be scheduled on a date and time at the discretion of the assigned judge.
- (A) ~~An attorney of record may secure a date for hearing from the trial judge's secretary for matters such as uncontested divorce cases, brief motion arguments, and hearings.~~
- (B) ~~Motion day shall be Wednesday. If a legal holiday coincides in some manner, the assignment clerk shall set a new motion day and provide appropriate notice.~~
- (C) ~~Any hearing time secured by telephone shall be cancelled if a written notice of hearing is not filed within seven days with a copy to the assignment clerk or judge's secretary, as appropriate.~~
- (D) ~~Any matter requiring testimony or hearings of more than 15 minutes shall be scheduled by the assignment clerk other than on motion day.~~

Staff Comment (ADM File No. 2024-33): The amendment of LCR 2.119 for the Ingham County Circuit Court conforms the rule to local practice regarding motions.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of a new rule or amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

November 20, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster", is written over a horizontal line.

Clerk