

Order

Michigan Supreme Court
Lansing, Michigan

December 19, 2024

Elizabeth T. Clement,
Chief Justice

ADM File No. 2023-33

Brian K. Zahra
David F. Viviano
Richard H. Bernstein
Megan K. Cavanagh
Elizabeth M. Welch
Kyra H. Bolden,
Justices

Proposed Amendment of
Rule 7.209 of the Michigan
Court Rules

On order of the Court, this is to advise that the Court is considering an amendment of Rule 7.209 of the Michigan Court Rules. Before determining whether the proposal should be adopted, changed before adoption, or rejected, this notice is given to afford interested persons the opportunity to comment on the form or the merits of the proposal or to suggest alternatives. The Court welcomes the views of all. This matter will also be considered at a public hearing. The notices and agendas for each public hearing are posted on the [Public Administrative Hearings](#) page.

Publication of this proposal does not mean that the Court will issue an order on the subject, nor does it imply probable adoption of the proposal in its present form.

[Additions to the text are indicated in underlining and
deleted text is shown by strikeover.]

Rule 7.209 Bond; Stay of Proceedings

(A)-(C) [Unchanged.]

(D) Review by Court of Appeals. Except as otherwise provided by rule or law, on motion filed in a case pending before it, the Court of Appeals may amend the amount of bond set by the trial court, order an additional or different bond and set the amount, or require different or additional sureties. The Court of Appeals may also refer a bond or bail matter to the court from which the appeal is taken. On its own initiative or on a party's motion, ~~the~~ Court of Appeals may ~~grant a stay of~~ proceedings in the trial court or stay ~~the~~ effect or enforcement of any judgment or order of a trial court on the terms it deems just.

(E)-(I) [Unchanged.]

Staff Comment (ADM File No. 2023-33): The proposed amendment of MCR 7.209 would clarify that the appellate courts can sua sponte order a stay of proceedings or stay the effect or enforcement of any trial court judgment or order.

The staff comment is not an authoritative construction by the Court. In addition, adoption of a new rule or amendment in no way reflects a substantive determination by this Court.

A copy of this order will be given to the Secretary of the State Bar and to the State Court Administrator so that they can make the notifications specified in MCR 1.201. Comments on the proposal may be submitted by April 1, 2025 by clicking on the “Comment on this Proposal” link under this proposal on the [Court’s Proposed & Adopted Orders on Administrative Matters](#) page. You may also submit a comment in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, MI 48909 or via email at ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov. When submitting a comment, please refer to ADM File No. 2023-33. Your comments and the comments of others will be posted under the chapter affected by this proposal.



I, Larry S. Royster, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

December 19, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry S. Royster".

Clerk