



## Michigan Supreme Court

State Court Administrative Office

**Court Services Division**

Michigan Hall of Justice

P.O. Box 30048

Lansing, Michigan 48909

Phone (517) 373-4835

### MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 16, 2021

TO: Judges, Court Administrators, and Probate Registers

FROM: Lucy Viramontes, Forms and Resources Analyst

RE: New JC 15m, Motion Re Transfer/Hearing/Placement  
New JC 15a, Authorization/Denial/Notice of Hearing  
New JC 15o, Order Regarding Qualified Residential Treatment Program  
Placement  
Revised JC 19, Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing  
(Child Protective Proceedings)  
Revised JC 76, Order After Posttermination Review/Permanency Planning  
Hearing (Child Protective Proceedings)

---

Forms JC 15, JC 19, and JC 76 have been revised. During that process, form JC 15 was split into JC 15m and JC 15a, and JC 15o was created. A brief explanation of the changes and a copy of the forms with the changes highlighted are provided below.

**If a form is used by the court through a JIS case management system, you will receive a separate notice from JIS regarding the release of the form. Until then, please use the current version posted to the One Court of Justice website.**

For questions, comments, or suggestions about court forms, contact 517-373-5626 or [CourtFormsInfo@courts.mi.gov](mailto:CourtFormsInfo@courts.mi.gov).

---

#### **[JC 15m, Motion Re Transfer/Hearing/Placement](#)**

**Most recent update:** (4/21)

**Use of existing stock:** Existing stock cannot be used.

- Click here to see the highlighted changes.

These forms were revised pursuant to 2020 PA 8 and proposed MCR 3.966(D).

---

### **JC 15a, Authorization/Denial/Notice of Hearing**

**Most recent update:** (4/21)

**Use of existing stock:** Existing stock cannot be used.

- [Click here to see the highlighted changes.](#)

These forms were revised pursuant to 2020 PA 8 and proposed MCR 3.966(D).

---

### **JC 15o, Order Regarding Qualified Residential Treatment Program Placement**

**Most recent update:** (3/21)

**Use of existing stock:** THIS IS A NEW FORM.

- [Click here to see the highlighted changes.](#)

This form was created to meet the requirements of 2020 PA 8 and proposed MCR 3.966(D).

---

### **JC 19, Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (Child Protective Proceedings)**

**Most recent update:** (4/21)

**Use of existing stock:** Existing stock cannot be used.

- [Click here to see the highlighted changes.](#)

This form was revised pursuant to 2020 PA 8 and proposed MCR 3.966(D) and MCR 3.975.

---

### **JC 76, Order After Posttermination Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (Child Protective Proceedings)**

**Most recent update:** (4/21)

**Use of existing stock:** Existing stock cannot be used.

- [Click here to see the highlighted changes.](#)

This form was revised pursuant to 2020 PA 8 and proposed MCR 3.966(D) and MCR 3.976.

# This form has been split.

<b>STATE OF MICHIGAN</b> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	<b>MOTION RE TRANSFER/ HEARING/PLACEMENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> EX PARTE	<b>CASE NO.</b> <b>PETITION NO.</b> <b>JUDGE</b>
Court address		Court telephone no.

In the matter of \_\_\_\_\_  
First and last name(s), alias(es)

1. The names and addresses of parents, guardians, or legal custodians are:

Father	Address
Mother	Address
Guardian/Legal custodian	Address

2. I request a

- transfer of this case to the formal calendar. \_\_\_\_\_  
 review.  rehearing.  adjournment.  
 hearing regarding the agency's notice of intent to return the child home.  
 review of the initial services plan and/or custody or placement order.  
 court review of the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program by \_\_\_\_\_ .  
Use note: court review and approval must be made within 60 days of the child's placement in a  
qualified residential treatment program. Date \_\_\_\_\_

State reasons below as appropriate.

I declare \_\_\_\_\_ under the penalties of perjury that this motion has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Agency/Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Name (type or print) \_\_\_\_\_ City, state, zip \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone no. \_\_\_\_\_

# This form has been split.

<b>STATE OF MICHIGAN</b> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	<b>AUTHORIZATION/DENIAL/ NOTICE OF HEARING</b>	<b>CASE NO.</b> <b>PETITION NO.</b> <b>JUDGE</b>
Court address		Court telephone no.

In the matter of \_\_\_\_\_  
First and last name(s), alias(es)

1. A motion was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ requesting  
Date

transfer of this case to the formal calendar.  
 review.  rehearing.  adjournment.  
 hearing regarding the agency's notice of intent to return the child home.  
 review of the initial services plan and/or custody or placement order.  
 review of the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program.

2. Transfer is authorized and hearing on the petition of \_\_\_\_\_ is set for  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_  
Date and time Location

3. A hearing will be held on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Location

regarding the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program. Use note: a hearing is not required. If a hearing is set, the hearing must be held and court review and approval must be made within 60 days of the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program.

4.  Review  Rehearing  Adjournment  Hearing on agency's intent to return child home is authorized and  
hearing is set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_  
Date and time Location

5.  Transfer  Review  Rehearing  Adjournment is denied.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge/Referee signature and date

# New Form - split from jc15.

<b>STATE OF MICHIGAN</b> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY	<b>ORDER REGARDING QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT PROGRAM PLACEMENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> EX PARTE	<b>CASE NO.</b> <b>PETITION NO.</b> <b>JUDGE</b>
Court address		Court telephone no.

In the matter of \_\_\_\_\_  
First and last name(s), alias(es)

### THE COURT FINDS:

1. The needs of the child cannot be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.
2. The needs of the child can be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program does not provide the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is not consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.

### IT IS ORDERED:

3. The child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program is  approved.  not approved.

Recommended by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Referee signature and date

\_\_\_\_\_

Judge signature and date

<b>STATE OF MICHIGAN</b> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION <b>COUNTY</b>	<b>ORDER AFTER DISPOSITIONAL REVIEW/          PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING          (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS)</b> <b>ORDER ____ OF ____</b>	<b>CASE NO.</b>  <b>PETITION NO.</b>  <b>JUDGE</b>
---	--	--

Court address \_\_\_\_\_ Court telephone no. \_\_\_\_\_

In the matter of \_\_\_\_\_  
First and last name(s), alias(es)

1. Date of hearing: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge/Referee: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Removal date: \_\_\_\_\_ (Specify for each child if different.)

Last permanency planning hearing date: \_\_\_\_\_ (Specify for each child if different.)

3. As of the last order, the child(ren) named above was/were in the protective/temporary custody of the court, and  
 remained in the home.  was/were placed with the department.

4. Notice of hearing for the  review  permanency planning  combined review and permanency planning  
 hearing was served as required by law.  Notice of proceedings is to be given as required by law.

5. This hearing is being conducted under MCR 3.974(D)(2) for an Indian child who was removed from the home. The  
 Indian child removal hearing  was held with this hearing.  was previously held.  
 is scheduled for \_\_\_\_\_.

**THE COURT FINDS:**

6. The lawyer-guardian ad litem  has  has not complied with the requirements of MCL 712A.17d.

7.  a. There is probable cause to believe the legal/putative father(s) is/are:  
(Name each child, his/her father, and whether legal or putative.)

b. The putative father of \_\_\_\_\_ is unknown and cannot be identified.

c. The putative father was notified as required by law and failed to establish paternity within the time set by the court.  
 The putative father waives all rights to further notice, including the right to notice of termination of parental rights  
 and the right to an attorney.

8. The court has considered the case service plan and other evidence presented. The findings below are specific to this  
 case and are based upon this hearing and  the following report(s): \_\_\_\_\_  
Identify report(s) and date(s) of report(s)

Specific conditions reviewed on the record as required by MCL 712A.19(6) were

- a. compliance with the case service plan with respect to services provided or offered to the child and his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian and whether the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian complied with and benefited from those services.
- b. compliance with the case service plan with respect to parenting time with the child and whether parenting time did not occur or was infrequent and the reasons why.
- c. the extent to which the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian complied with each provision of the case service plan, prior court orders, and any agreement between the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian and the agency.
- d. likely harm to the child if the child continued to be separated from his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.
- e. likely harm to the child if the child was returned to his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.

**Note:** If it comes to the court's attention or new allegations are made during this hearing that require the removal of the child(ren), removal must be done in accordance with MCR 3.974.

9. Returning the child(ren) to the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian  would  would not cause a substantial risk of harm to the child(ren)'s life, physical health, or mental well-being.
10. The child(ren) should not be returned to the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian. (State reasons for a. or b. in the space below.)
- a. The agency  should  should not initiate proceedings to terminate the parental rights to the child(ren) because:
- b. The child has been in foster care for 15 months of the most recent 22 months, and the agency  should initiate proceedings to terminate the parental rights to the child(ren).  should not initiate proceedings to terminate the parental rights to the child(ren) for the following compelling reasons:

11.  a. Reasonable efforts  were  were not made to preserve and reunify the family to make it possible for child(ren) to safely return to the child(ren)'s home. (Specify reasonable efforts below, and if applicable, the reasons for return.)
- 1) Reasonable efforts for reunification should be continued.
- 2) Those reasonable efforts were successful and the child(ren) should be released to

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name(s) of parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian

The reasonable efforts include: (Specify.)

- b. Reasonable efforts to preserve and reunify the family to make it possible for the child(ren) to safely return to the child(ren)'s home are not required based on a prior order.
12. In accordance with MCL 712A.19(7), progress toward alleviating or mitigating the conditions that caused the child(ren) to be placed or to remain in temporary foster care
- was made by \_\_\_\_\_  
List names
- was not made by \_\_\_\_\_  
List names

13. The child(ren)'s continued placement  is necessary and appropriate and is meeting the child(ren)'s needs.  is no longer necessary or appropriate.

14. The needs of the child
- cannot be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.
- can be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program does not provide the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is not consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.

- 15. The child(ren) is/are Indian as defined in MCR 3.002(12), and placement  remains  does not remain appropriate and  does  does not comply with MCR 3.967(F).
- 16. The child(ren) is/are Indian and the court finds that active efforts  have  have not been made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family.
- 17. \*Reasonable efforts  have  have not been made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan of
  - a. return to the parent for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. adoption for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_
  - c. legal guardianship for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. placement with a fit and willing relative for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. placement in another planned permanent living arrangement (APPLA) for the child(ren) age 16 or older named \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ due to the compelling reasons that: (Specify the compelling reasons for another planned permanent living arrangement by entering the language that corresponds to the number[s] from the list on the last page.)

The reasonable efforts made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan identified above include:  
(Specify the permanency plan for each child and the reasonable efforts made toward finalizing that plan.)

- Because adoption is the court-approved permanency plan, the department shall be ordered to initiate proceedings to terminate parental rights.
- 18. The permanency planning goal in item 17  is appropriate.  is no longer appropriate and shall be: \_\_\_\_\_
- 19. The appointment of a juvenile guardian is in the best interest of the child(ren) named above in item 17c.  The court has received and considered the information required by MCR 3.979(A)(1), and the proposed guardian should be appointed.
- 20. The department, foster home, or institutional placement  has  has not followed the reasonable prudent parenting standard that the child(ren) has/have regular opportunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities.
- 21.  a. All siblings are in joint placement.  
 b. All siblings are not in joint placement because:

Sibling contact  is occurring according to law.  is not occurring because (see item 31 to order sibling contact):

- 22. Parenting time with \_\_\_\_\_, even if supervised, may be harmful to the child(ren).
- 23. A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction over \_\_\_\_\_ under MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated. (This finding is considered at the first review hearing after the appointment.)
- 24. A juvenile guardianship for \_\_\_\_\_ was revoked under MCR 3.979(F), and this hearing is held under MCR 3.979(F)(7).

**Note:** \*MCL 712A.19a provides that these reasonable efforts findings must be made within 12 months from when the child was removed from his/her home and every 12 months thereafter.



**IT IS ORDERED:**

- 25. Notice is to be given to the legal/putative father(s) as required by law.  The father was not present and must appear at the next hearing.  The putative father was present at this hearing and shall establish paternity within 14 days.
  - 26. The child(ren) is/are continued in the protective/temporary custody of this court, and (Check only a, b, c, or d.)
    - a. is/are placed with the department for care and supervision, and
      - 1) the parent, guardian, or legal custodian shall execute all documents necessary to release confidential information regarding the child(ren), including medical, mental, and educational reports, and shall also, within 7 days, provide the department with the name(s) and address(es) of the medical provider(s) for the child(ren). Any medical provider of the child(ren) shall release the medical records of the child(ren) to the department.
      - 2) if a home study has not yet been completed, then one shall be performed by the department and a copy of the home study submitted to the court not more than 30 days after the placement.
      - 3) upon request, the department shall release to the foster parent the information concerning the child(ren) in accordance with MCL 712A.13a(15).
      - 4) the child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program  is  is not approved.
    - b. remain home with or is/are released to \_\_\_\_\_ under the supervision of the department.  The following terms and conditions apply to the parent(s) guardian/legal custodian: \_\_\_\_\_
    - c. the current placement with the department shall continue. The department shall:
      - 1) conduct a criminal record check and central registry clearance of the residents of the home of the proposed juvenile guardian and submit the results to the court within 7 days.
      - 2) perform a home study with a copy submitted to the court within 28 days, unless a home study has been performed within the immediately preceding 365 days of this order, in which case, a copy of that home study shall be submitted to the court.
    - d. placed under guardianship under MCR 3.979(B). (See separate order, form JC 91.)
  - 27. While the child(ren) is/are placed out of the home, the friend of the court shall redirect current support due on behalf of the child(ren) to the person with whom the child(ren) is/are placed as long as that person is not receiving foster care maintenance payments. Unpaid child support that charged during the unfunded placement shall also be redirected unless otherwise assigned.
  - 28. The department shall comply with MCR 3.967(F).
  - 29. The department shall initiate proceedings to terminate parental rights to the child(ren) no later than 28 days from the date of this hearing.
  - 30.  a. The parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian shall comply with, and benefit from, the case service plan.
    - In addition, \_\_\_\_\_. b. The parent(s) need not comply with, and benefit from, the case service plan because parental rights were released pursuant to the adoption code.
  - c. The parent(s) need not comply with, and benefit from, the case service plan because jurisdiction of the court is terminated.
31. Sibling contact shall be as follows:
32.  a. Parenting time of \_\_\_\_\_ is
  - unsupervised.  supervised until further order of the court.
  - The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee.
- b. Parenting time of \_\_\_\_\_ is
  - unsupervised.  supervised until further order of the court.
  - The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee.
- c. Parenting time of \_\_\_\_\_ is
  - unsupervised.  supervised until further order of the court.
  - The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee.
- d.

**IT IS ORDERED:** (continued)

- 33. Jurisdiction of this court is terminated. The court reserves the right to enforce payments of reimbursement that have accrued up to and including the date of this order. The child(ren) is/are released to \_\_\_\_\_.
- 34. Previous reimbursement orders shall continue.
- 35. Other: (Attach separate sheets as necessary.)

36. Prior orders remain in effect except as modified in this order.

37. Review hearings shall be held as follows:

(Note: The review hearing shall not be delayed beyond the number of days required regardless whether a petition to terminate parental rights or another matter is pending. MCL 712A.19a provides that the permanency planning hearing shall not be delayed beyond 12 months from the date of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.)

- dispositional review hearing \_\_\_\_\_  permanency planning hearing \_\_\_\_\_
- dispositional review hearing to terminate jurisdiction under MCR 3.979(C) \_\_\_\_\_

The supervising agency shall provide documentation of progress relating to all aspects of the last court-ordered treatment plan, including copies of evaluations and therapy reports and verification of parenting time, no less than 7 days before the scheduled hearing.

38. A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian under MCR 3.979(B) shall be held \_\_\_\_\_.

39.  Notice of the next hearing has been provided as required by law.  Notice of the next hearing shall be provided.

Recommended by: \_\_\_\_\_

Referee signature and date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge signature and date

**The following are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than return to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.**

1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
3. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
4. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
5. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
6. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
7. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
8. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
9. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
10. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
11. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act and Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
12. Other (specify in the findings in item 17e).

<b>STATE OF MICHIGAN</b> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION <b>COUNTY</b>	<b>ORDER AFTER POSTTERMINATION REVIEW/          PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING          (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS)</b> ORDER ____ OF ____	<b>CASE NO.</b>  <b>PETITION NO.</b>  <b>JUDGE</b>
---	---	--

Court address \_\_\_\_\_

Court telephone no. \_\_\_\_\_

In the matter of \_\_\_\_\_  
First and last name(s), alias(es)

1. Date of hearing: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge/Referee: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Last permanency planning hearing date: \_\_\_\_\_ (Specify for each child if different.)
3. Parental rights to the child(ren) named above were previously terminated.
4. Notice of hearing for the  review  permanency planning  combined review and permanency planning hearing was served as required by law.
5. The court has considered the permanency plan and other evidence presented. The findings below are specific to this case and are based upon this hearing, and  the following report(s): \_\_\_\_\_  
Identify report(s) and date(s) of report(s)

**THE COURT FINDS:**

6. A  review  permanency planning  combined review and permanency planning hearing was conducted.
7. The lawyer-guardian ad litem  has  has not complied with the requirements of MCL 712A.17d.
8. Reasonable efforts  have  have not been made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan of
  - a. adoption for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_.
  - b. legal guardianship for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_.
  - c. placement with a fit and willing relative for the child(ren) named \_\_\_\_\_.
  - d. placement in another planned permanent living arrangement (APPLA) for the child(ren) age 16 or older named \_\_\_\_\_.
 due to the compelling reasons that: (Specify the compelling reasons for another planned permanent living arrangement by entering the language that corresponds to the number[s] from the list on the last page.)

The reasonable efforts made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan identified above include:  
(Specify the permanency plan for each child and the reasonable efforts made toward finalizing that plan.)

**Use Note:** Use this form for posttermination review hearings, posttermination permanency planning hearings, or a combination of both in accordance with MCL 712A.19c.

**Reference Note:** The term "department" refers to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.

9. The permanency planning goal in item 8  is appropriate.  is no longer appropriate and shall be:

\_\_\_\_\_

10. Progress toward the child(ren)'s adoption or other permanent placement  was  was not made in a timely manner.

- 11. The needs of the child
  - cannot be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program provides the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.
  - can be met in a foster family home, placement of the child in a qualified residential treatment program does not provide the most effective and appropriate level of care for the child in the least restrictive environment, and is not consistent with the goals in the permanency plan for the child.

12. The child(ren)'s continued placement  is necessary and appropriate and is meeting the child(ren)'s needs.  is no longer necessary or appropriate.

- 13.  a. All siblings are in joint placement.
- b. All siblings are not in joint placement because:

Sibling contact  is occurring according to law.  is not occurring because (see item 17 to order sibling contact):

14. The appointment of a juvenile guardian is in the best interest of the child(ren) named above in item 8b.  The court has received and considered the information required by MCR 3.979(A)(1) and (3) and the proposed guardian should be appointed.

15. A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction over \_\_\_\_\_ under MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated. (This finding is considered at the first review hearing after the appointment.)

16. A juvenile guardianship for \_\_\_\_\_ was revoked pursuant to MCR 3.979(F), and this hearing is held under MCR 3.979(F)(7).  The child(ren) was/were committed to the department for permanency planning, supervision, care, and placement under MCL 400.203.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

17. Sibling contact shall be as follows:

18. The child(ren)'s commitment under  MCL 400.203 (MCI ward)  MCL 710.29 (adoption code) continues.

19. The child's placement in a qualified residential treatment program  is  is not approved.

- 20. The department shall, for the child(ren) named in item 8b,
  - a. conduct a criminal record check and central registry clearance of the residents of the home of the proposed juvenile guardian and submit the results to the court within 7 days.
  - b. perform a home study with a copy submitted to the court within 28 days, unless a home study has been performed within the immediately preceding 365 days of this order, in which case, a copy of that study shall be submitted to the court.
  - c. seek the written consent for the appointment of the proposed juvenile guardian from the superintendent of the Michigan Children's Institute, to be filed with the court within 28 days.

21. The child(ren) shall be placed under juvenile guardianship pursuant to MCR 3.979(B). (See separate order, form JC 91.)

**IT IS ORDERED:** (continued)

- 22. The department shall make reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan for each child.
- 23. The child(ren) has/have been adopted and the jurisdiction of this court is terminated.
- 24. The jurisdiction of this court is terminated because of the child(ren)'s age(s).
- 25. The jurisdiction of this court is terminated pursuant to MCL 712A.19c(9) and MCR 3.979(C).
- 26. Other:

27. Review hearings shall be held as follows: (**Note:** The review hearing shall not be delayed beyond the number of days required regardless whether another matter is pending. MCL 712A.19a provides that the permanency planning hearing shall not be delayed beyond 12 months from the date of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.)

posttermination review hearing \_\_\_\_\_  permanency planning hearing \_\_\_\_\_

dispositional review hearing to terminate jurisdiction pursuant to MCR 3.979(C) \_\_\_\_\_

The supervising agency shall provide documentation of progress relating to all aspects of the last court-ordered treatment plan, including copies of evaluations and therapy reports and verification of parenting time not later than 5 business days before the scheduled hearing.

28. A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian under MCR 3.979(B) shall be held \_\_\_\_\_ .  
No later than 35 days

29.  Notice of the next hearing has been provided as required by law.  Notice of the next hearing shall be provided.

Recommended by: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Referee signature and date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge signature and date

**The following are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.**

1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
3. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
4. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
5. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
6. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
7. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
8. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
9. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
10. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
11. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act and Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
12. Other (specify in the findings in item 8d).