Approved, SCAO

PCS CODE: IDR/SRE/PPH-ORH/OPP
TCS CODE: SRE/PPH

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION COUNTY

Department of Health and Human Services.

ORDER AFTER DISPOSITIONAL REVIEW/ PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING (CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS) ORDER _____ OF ____

CASE NO. PETITION NO.

COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PR ORDER OF	OCEEDINGS)	
Court address			Court telephone no.
In the matter of name(s), alias(es), DOB			
2. Date of hearing:	Judge/Referee:		Bar no.
☐ 3. Removal date:		(Specify for each	
Last permanency planning heari	ng date:	(Specify for each	n child if different.)
4. As of the last order, the child(ren) r ☐ remained in the home. ☐ wa			y custody of the court, and
5. Notice of hearing for the review hearing was served as required by			ed review and permanency planning required by law.
			as removed from the home. The Indian ☐ is scheduled for
THE COURT FINDS: 7. The lawyer-guardian ad litem	☐ has ☐ has not complied	with the requireme	ents of MCL 712A.17d.
8. \square a. There is probable cause to be (Name each child, his/her father, and) is/are:	
	ed as required by law and failed	l to establish pater	own and cannot be identified. nity within the time set by the court. e of termination of parental rights and
9. The court has considered the case and are based upon this hearing an	service plan and other evidence and \Box the following report(s):	e presented. The f	indings below are specific to this case
Specific conditions reviewed on the			e(3) of report(3)
a. compliance with the case service	e plan with respect to services	provided or offered	to the child and his or her parent(s), an complied with and benefited from
		time with the child	and whether parenting time did not
court orders, and any agreemen d. likely harm to the child if the chil	t between the parent(s), guardi d continued to be separated fro	an, or legal custod m his or her paren	t(s), guardian, or legal custodian.
e. likely harm to the child if the chil Note: If it comes to the court's attention or r done in accordance with MCR 3.974.	·	. , .	r legal custodian. ne removal of the child(ren), removal must be
See additional pages.			
Use Note: Do not use this form for revie permanency planning hearings after termin Use form JC 76 instead.	w or	t write below this line	e - For court use only MCL 712A.17d(1)(c),
Reference Note: The term "department" refers	to the		MCL 712A.18f, MCL 712A.19,

MCL 712A.17d(1)(E), MCL 712A.18f, MCL 712A.19, MCL 712A.19a, MCL 712A.20, MCR 3.002, MCR 3.921.(C), MCR 3.974(A)(3), MCR 3.975, MCR 3.976(B)(1), MCR 3.979

Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Heari Order of	ing (12/18)	Page o	f	Case No Petition No	
10. Returning the child(ren) to the parent(s), guardia risk of harm to the child(ren)'s life, physical healt			☐ would	☐ would not	cause a substantial
 □ 11. The child(ren) should not be returned to the pa □ a. The agency □ should □ should not init □ b. The child has been in foster care for 15 □ should initiate proceedings to termina □ should not initiate proceedings to termina 	tiate procee months of thate the parer	dings to terr ne most rec ntal rights to	minate the pa ent 22 month o the child(rer	rental rights to the s, and the agend i).	ne child(ren) because: cy
 □ 12. □ a. Reasonable efforts □ were □ were reschild(ren) to safely return to the child(ren □ 1) Reasonable efforts for reunification □ 2) Those reasonable efforts were successions. 	n)'s home. (n should be	Specify reason continued.	nable efforts belo	w, and if applicable,	
Name(s) of parent(s), guardian, or legal cus	stodian			·	
The reasonable efforts include: (Specify.)					
☐ b. Reasonable efforts to preserve and reur child(ren)'s home are not required based			t possible for	the child(ren) to	safely return to the
☐ 13. In accordance with MCL 712A.19(7), progress to be placed or to remain in temporary foster		eviating or r	nitigating the	conditions that o	caused the child(ren)
☐ was made by					·
was not made by List names					·
14. The child(ren)'s continued placement ☐ is nec☐ is no longer necessary or appropriate.	essary and	appropriate	and is meeti	ng the child(ren)	's needs.
☐ 15. The child(ren) is/are Indian as defined in MCF appropriate and ☐ does ☐ does not		, and placer h MCR 3.96		ains □ does n	ot remain

	fter Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (1 of	2/18) Page of
		active efforts $\ \square$ have $\ \square$ have not been made to provide gned to prevent the breakup of the Indian family.
☐ 17 .	 □ a. return to the parent for the child(ren) named _ □ b. adoption for the child(ren) named _ □ c. legal guardianship for the child(ren) named_ □ d. placement with a fit and willing relative for the 	n made to finalize the court-approved permanency plan of child(ren) named ng arrangement (APPLA) for the child(ren) age 16 or older named
	due to the compelling reasons that: (Specify the language that corresponds to the number[s] from the list	compelling reasons for another planned permanent living arrangement by entering the on the last page.)
	The reasonable efforts made to finalize the court-a (Specify the permanency plan for each child and the reasonable	pproved permanency plan identified above include: e efforts made toward finalizing that plan.)
□ 18.	terminate parental rights.	nency plan, the department shall be ordered to initiate proceedings to ppropriate. is no longer appropriate and shall be:
10.	——————————————————————————————————————	
□ 19.		st interest of the child(ren) named above in item 17.c. The court d by MCR 3.979(A)(1), and the proposed guardian should be appointed.
□ 20.		nent \square has \square has not followed the reasonable prudent parenting rtunities to engage in age or developmentally appropriate activities.
□ 21.	□ a. All siblings are in joint placement.□ b. All siblings are not in joint placement because	e:
	Sibling contact \square is occurring according to	to law. is not occurring because (see item 31 to order sibling contact):
□ 22.	Parenting time with	, even if supervised, may be harmful to the child(ren).
□ 23.	A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated. (This finding is	over under considered at the first review hearing after the appointment.)
☐ 24.	A juvenile guardianship for and this hearing is held under MCR 3.979(F)(7).	was revoked under MCR 3.979(F),

Note: *MCL 712A.19a provides that these reasonable efforts findings must be made within 12 months from when the child was removed from his/her home and every 12 months thereafter.

	fter Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing	រ្វ (12/18) Page _	of	Case No
Order _	of			Petition No
IT IS	ADDEDED.			
□ 25. _	Notice is to be given to the legal/putative father at the next hearing. ☐ The putative father was The child(ren) is/are continued in the protective. ☐ a. is/are placed with the department for care 1) the parent, guardian, or legal custodian are garding the child(ren), including medic the department with the name(s) and are provider of the child(ren) shall release the	s present at this s/temporary cust and supervision shall execute all cal, mental, and iddress(es) of the	hearing and sha ody of this court, n, and documents nece educational repo e medical provide	Il establish paternity within 14 days. and (Check only a, b, c, or d.) essary to release confidential information rts, and shall also, within 7 days, provide der(s) for the child(ren). Any medical
	2) if a home study has not yet been compl home study submitted to the court not r3) upon request, the department shall rele accordance with MCL 712A.13a(15).	more than 30 da	ys after the plac	ement.
	□ b. remain home with or is/are released to supervision of the department. □ The fol custodian:	ollowing terms a		
	 c. the current placement with the department 1) conduct a criminal record check and ce juvenile guardian and submit the results 2) perform a home study with a copy submit performed within the immediately precesshall be submitted to the court. d. placed under guardianship under MCR 3.9 	entral registry cle is to the court wi mitted to the cou eding 365 days o	earance of the re- thin 7 days. Int within 28 days of this order, in w	sidents of the home of the proposed , unless a home study has been hich case, a copy of that home study
□ 27.	While the child(ren) is/are placed out of the hom of the child(ren) to the person with whom the chimaintenance payments. Unpaid child support the unless otherwise assigned.	hild(ren) is/are p	laced as long as	that person is not receiving foster care
□ 28.	The department shall comply with MCR 3.967(F	F).		
□ 29.	The department shall initiate proceedings to term of this hearing.	minate parental	rights to the child	(ren) no later than 28 days from the date
□ 30.	\square a. The parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian	ı shall comply wi	th, and benefit fro	om, the case service plan. \Box In addition,
	 □ b. The parent(s) need not comply with, and be pursuant to the adoption code. □ c. The parent(s) need not comply with, and be terminated. 			
□ 31.	Sibling contact shall be as follows:			
□ 32.	☐ a. Parenting time of ☐ unsupervised. ☐ supervised until furthed ☐ The department has discretion to allow	er order of the o		enting time by its designee.
	 □ b. Parenting time of □ unsupervised. □ supervised until furthe □ The department has discretion to allow 	er order of the o		enting time by its designee.
	□ c. Parenting time of□ unsupervised.□ supervised until furthe□ The department has discretion to allow			enting time by its designee.
	\Box d.			

Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (12/18)	Page of
order of	Petition No
T IS ORDERED: (continued) ☐ 33. Jurisdiction of this court is terminated. The court reserves accrued up to and including the date of this order. The ch	
34. Previous reimbursement orders shall continue.	
35. Other: (Attach separate sheets as necessary.)	
36. Prior orders remain in effect except as modified in this order	
of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.) dispositional review hearing dispositional review hearing to terminate jurisdiction under the supervising agency shall provide documentation of p	ncy planning hearing shall not be delayed beyond 12 months from the date permanency planning hearing der MCR 3.979(C)
\square 38. A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian under MCR 3.9	79(B) shall be held
39. \square Notice of the next hearing has been provided as required	by law. \square Notice of the next hearing shall be provided.
Recommended by: Referee signature	Date
vate	Judge
The following are examples of compelling reasons for a per	manency plan other than return

to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.

- 1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
- 2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
- 3. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
- 4. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
- 5. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
- 6. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
- 7. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
- 8. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
- 9. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
- 10. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
- 11. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act and Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
- 12. Other (specify in the findings in item 17.e).