PCS Code: IDR/SRE/PPH-ORH/OPP

TCS Code: SRE/PPH

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION

ORDER AFTER DISPOSITIONAL REVIEW/ PERMANENCY PLANNING HEARING

CASE NO.

COUNTY	(CHILD PROTECTIVE PROCEEDINGS) ORDER OF	JUDGE
Court address	ORDEROI	Court telephone no.
In the matter of ${\text{First and last name(s), alias}}$		
First and last name(s), alias	(es)	
☐ 1. Removal date:	(Specify fo	r each child if different.)
Last permanency planning heari	ng date: (Specify fo	r each child if different.
□ remained in the home. □ wa 3. Notice of hearing for the □ revi hearing was served as required by □ 4. This hearing is being conducted	named above was/were in the protective/temp is/were placed with the department. ew □ permanency planning □ combi law. □ Notice of proceedings is to be giver under MCR 3.974(D)(2) for an Indian child wi □ was held with this hearing. □ was pre	ned review and permanency planning n as required by law. ho was removed from the home. The
THE COURT FINDS: ☐ 5. a. The lawyer-guardian ad litem ☐ b. The lawyer-guardian ad lite	\square has \square has not complied with the minformed the court of the child(ren)'s wishe	ne requirements of MCL 712A.17d. s and preferences. These are:
\square c. The lawyer-guardian ad lite	m did not inform the court of the child(ren)'s w	vishes and preferences because:
	interests are inconsistent with the lawyer-gua \Box An attorney should be appointed for the	
6. \square a. There is probable cause to be	elieve the legal/putative father(s) is/are: (Name e	each child, his/her father, and whether legal or putative.)
\square c. The putative father was notifie	is ued as required by law and failed to establish parights to further notice, including the right to r	aternity within the time set by the court.
7. The court has considered the case	service plan and other evidence presented. T	The findings below are specific to this
case and are based upon this near	ing and \square the following report(s): $\frac{1}{ Identify }$	ort(s) and date(s) of report(s)
Specific conditions reviewed on the	e record as required by MCL 712A.19(6) were	
	e plan with respect to services provided or offe	
those services.	whether the parent(s), guardian, or legal custo	·
occur or was infrequent and the		
	, guardian, or legal custodian complied with e ement between the parent(s), guardian, or leg	

- d. likely harm to the child if the child continued to be separated from his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.
- e. likely harm to the child if the child was returned to his or her parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian.

Note: If it comes to the court's attention or new allegations are made during this hearing that require the removal of the child(ren), removal must be done in accordance with MCR 3.974.

Approved, SCAO Form JC 19, Rev. 10/24 MCL 712A.17d, MCL 712A.18f, MCL 712A.19, MCL 712A.19a, MCL 712A.20, MCL 712B.9, MCL 722.123a, MCR 3.002, MCR 3.921, MCR 3.972(A), MCR 3.974(A)(3), MCR 3.975, MCR 3.976, MCR 3.979 Page 1 of 5

Use Note: Do not use this form for review or permanency planning hearings after termination. Use form JC 76 instead.

Reference Note: The term "department" refers to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.

Order A	After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearin	g (10/24)	Case No	
(Child I	Protective Proceedings)	,		
Page 2				
	eturning the child(ren) to the parent(s), guardian,	•	☐ would ☐ would not	cause a
	bstantial risk of harm to the child(ren)'s life, phys			
□ 9.	The child(ren) should not be returned to the par-	ent(s), guardian, or l	egal custodian. (State reasons for	a. or b. in the space
	below.)			
	\square a. The agency \square should \square should not	initiate proceeding	s to terminate the parental righ	its to the child(ren)
	because:			
	\square b. The child has been in foster care for 15 mc	onths of the most rec	ent 22 months, and the agenc	у
	☐ should initiate proceedings to terminate	the parental rights to	o the child(ren).	
	should not initiate proceedings to termi	nate the parental rig	thts to the child(ren) for the fo	llowing compelling
	reasons:		, ,	
□ 10	. \square a. Reasonable efforts $\ \square$ were $\ \square$ were no			
	child(ren) to safely return to the child(ren)	's home. (Specify reaso	onable efforts below, and if applicable,	the reasons for return.)
	☐ 1) Reasonable efforts for reunification	should be continued	I.	
	\square 2) Those reasonable efforts were succ	essful and the child	(ren) should be released to	
	,		,	
	Name(s) of parent(s), guardian, or legal cust	odian		
	The reasonable efforts include: (Specify.)			
	h Bassanahla affarta to preserve and rouni	fu the femily to make	a it possible for the shild(ren) to	o oofoly roturn to
	b. Reasonable efforts to preserve and reuni			salely return to
	the child(ren)'s home are not required ba			1.0
□ 11.	In accordance with MCL 712A.19(7), progress		mitigating the conditions that	caused the
	child(ren) to be placed or to remain in tempora	ry foster care		
	☐ was made by			
	was not made by List names			·
	List names			
12. T	he child(ren)'s continued placement \square is ne	cessary and approp	riate and is meeting the child(re	en)'s needs.
	is no longer necessary or appropriate.		· ·	•
	. The needs of the child			
	\Box cannot be met in a foster family home, place	ment of the child in a	a qualified residential treatment	program provides
	the most effective and appropriate level of c			
			e least resulctive environment	, and is consistent
	with the goals in the permanency plan for th		and the state of t	
	and be met in a foster family home, placeme			
	provide the most effective and appropriate le		nild in the least restrictive envir	onment, and is not
	consistent with the goals in the permanency	plan for the child.		

Order Aft (Child Pr Page 3 of	ter Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing otective Proceedings) 5	(10/24)	Case No.
☐ 15. ⁻	The child(ren) is/are Indian as defined in MCR 3 appropriate and does does not The child(ren) is/are Indian and the court finds the remedial services and rehabilitative programs does.*Reasonable efforts have have not be a. return to the parent, guardian, or legal custo be adoption for the child(ren) named c. legal guardianship for the child(ren) named d. placement with a fit and willing relative for the compelling reasons that: (Specify	comply with MCR 3.967(F). nat active efforts have sesigned to prevent the breakupeen made to finalize the courtedian for the child(ren) named she chil	of the Indian family. capproved permanency plan of the child(ren) age 16 or older named
	entering the language that corresponds to the numbe The reasonable efforts made to finalize the cour	r[s] from the list on the last page.)	
	 □ Because adoption is the court-approved permeto terminate parental rights. 	able efforts made toward finalizing tha	it plan.)
☐ 17 .	The permanency planning goal in item 16	is appropriate. ☐ is no lo	onger appropriate and shall be:
	The appointment of a juvenile guardian is in the back has received and considered the information recappointed.		
□ 19.□ 20.	The department, foster home, or institutional pla parenting standard that the child(ren) has/have reactivities. activities. b. All siblings are in joint placement. b. All siblings are not in joint placement because.	egular opportunities to engage i	
	Sibling contact	to law. $\ \square$ is not occurring bed	Cause (see item 30 to order sibling contact):
☐ 21. I	Parenting time with	, even if supervis	ed, may be harmful to the child(ren).
□ 22.	A juvenile guardian was appointed and jurisdiction under MCL 712A.2(b) should be terminated. (This	on overs finding is considered at the first revie	w hearing after the appointment.)
□ 23.	A juvenile guardianship for MCR 3.979(F), and this hearing is held under M	CR 3.979(F)(7).	was revoked under

Note: *MCL 712A.19a provides that these reasonable efforts findings must be made within 12 months from when the child was removed from his/her home and every 12 months thereafter.

Order After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing	(10/24)	Case No
(Child Protective Proceedings)		
Page 4 of 5 IT IS ORDERED:		
24. Notice is to be given to the legal/putative father(e) as required by law	The father was not present and must
appear at the next hearing. The putative father to the legal/putative fa		
14 days.	ilei was present at tilis i	learing and shall establish paternity within
25. The child(ren) is/are continued in the protective/	temporary custody of th	is court and (Check only a h c ord)
a. is/are placed with the department for care		is court, and (check only a, b, c, or d.)
1) the parent, guardian, or legal custodian	•	ents necessary to release confidential
information regarding the child(ren), inc		
		dress(es) of the medical provider(s) for the
• • •	` ,	ne medical records of the child(ren) to the
department.	rma(ron) onan roidado a	To modical rocords of the crima(rom) to the
	eted then one shall be r	performed by the department and a copy of
the home study submitted to the court n		
		the information concerning the child(ren) in
accordance with MCL 712A.13a(18).	ace to the rector parent	and amornidation confederating the entire (rem) in
	ssessment made by the	qualified individual, the child's placement in
a qualified residential treatment prog		
b. remain home with or is/are released to		under the
supervision of the department. \square The following	lowing terms and condit	
custodian:		and apply to and parions(o) guaranarinogar
c. the current placement with the department	shall continue. The der	partment shall:
		of the residents of the home of the proposed
juvenile guardian and submit the results		· · ·
2) perform a home study with a copy subm		
		ler, in which case, a copy of that home study
shall be submitted to the court.	,	
☐ d. placed under guardianship under MCR 3.9	79(B). (See separate order,	form JC 91.)
☐ 26. While the child(ren) is/are placed out of the home		
assign or redirect child support pursuant to law.		
☐ 27. The department shall comply with MCR 3.967(F).	
\square 28. The department shall initiate proceedings to term	ninate parental rights to	the child(ren) no later than 28 days from the
date of this hearing.		
☐ 29. The adjudicated respondent(s)		
shall comply with, and benefit from, the case se	rvice plan.	
\square In addition,		
☐ 30. Sibling contact shall be as follows:		
☐ 31. ☐ a. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until furthe		
☐ The department has discretion to allow	unsupervised or supervi	ised parenting time by its designee.
☐ b. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until furthe	er order of the court.	
☐ The department has discretion to allow		ised parenting time by its designee.
<u> </u>		,
c. Parenting time of		is
unsupervised. supervised until furthe	r order of the court.	
☐ The department has discretion to allow	unsupervised or supervi	ised parenting time by its designee.
\square d.		

	After Dispositional Review/Permanency Planning Hearing (10/24) Protective Proceedings)	Case No.
Page 5 o	5 of 5	
	6 ORDERED: (continued) 2. Jurisdiction of this court is terminated. The court reserves	
□ 33	accrued up to and including the date of this order. The characteristic reimbursement orders shall continue.	nild(ren) is/are released to
	Other: (Attach separate sheets as necessary.)	
	 Prior orders remain in effect except as modified in this or Review hearings shall be held as follows: 	der.
	(Note: The review hearing shall not be delayed beyond the number of or another matter is pending. MCL 712A.19a provides that the permand date of removal of the child and every 12 months thereafter.)	
	dispositional review hearing	permanency planning hearing
	dispositional review hearing to terminate jurisdiction u	
	The supervising agency shall provide documentation of parterial treatment plan, including copies of evaluations and thera than 7 days before the scheduled hearing.	
□ 37.	7. A hearing to appoint the juvenile guardian under MCR 3.	979(B) shall be held
□ 38.	3. \square Notice of the next hearing has been provided as require	d by law.
		-
	Recommended by:	eree signature and date
	Ref	eree signature and date
		-
	Jud	ge signature and date

The following are examples of compelling reasons for a permanency plan other than return to parent, legal guardianship, placement with a fit and willing relative, or adoption.

- 1. No relative has been identified who is appropriate or available to assume the permanent custody of the child.
- 2. The current caregiver is not an adoptive resource.
- 3. Reasonable efforts to recruit an adoptive home have been unsuccessful.
- 4. The child does not want to be adopted and is of an age where due consideration must be given to his/her wishes.
- 5. It is contrary to the child's best interests to break the child's attachment to the current caregivers.
- 6. The current caregiver is committed to providing a permanent placement for the child.
- 7. The placement allows the siblings to remain together.
- 8. The child's special needs can best be met in this placement.
- 9. The child wants to remain in the current placement, which is only available as foster care.
- 10. The placement is preparing the child for transition into independent living (specify the services being provided to the child to assist with transition such as referral to an independent living skills program, enrollment in a vocational program, referral for a mentor, continued out-of-home placement in foster care beyond age 18 to allow the child to complete secondary school, placement in a resource that provides on-site training for independent living, and other similar services).
- 11. The child comes under the Indian Child Welfare Act and Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, and the child's tribe recommends permanent placement in long-term foster care.
- 12. Other (specify in the findings in item 16e).