

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 1997-11, the Michigan Supreme Court will hold a public administrative hearing on **Wednesday, November 20, 2019**, in the Supreme Court courtroom located on the sixth floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice, 925 W. Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan 48915. The hearing will begin promptly at **9:30 a.m. and adjourn no later than 11:30 a.m.**

Persons who wish to address the Court regarding matters on the agenda will be allotted three minutes each to present their views, after which the speakers may be questioned by the Justices. ***** Please be aware that comments offered at a public hearing must pertain directly to an item on the public hearing agenda.***** To reserve a place on the agenda, please notify the Office of Administrative Counsel in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, Michigan 48909, or by e-mail at ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov, no later than Friday, November 15, 2019.

The administrative matters on the agenda for this hearing are:

1. 2015-21 [Amendments of MCR 3.965, 3.971, 3.972, 3.973, and 3.993](#)
Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)
Issue: *Whether to retain the amendments of MCR 3.965, 3.971, 3.972, 3.973, and 3.993 that incorporate a requirement for a trial court to notify a respondent in a child protection proceeding of the right to appeal following a child's removal from the home and the initial dispositional order, and that failure to do so may bar respondent from later challenging the court's assumption of jurisdiction.*
2. 2018-23 [Proposed Alternative Amendments of MCR 6.610](#)
Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)

Issue: *Whether to adopt either of the proposed alternative amendments of MCR 6.610 that would allow discovery in criminal misdemeanor proceedings in the district court.*

3. 2018-28 [Proposed Amendment of LCR 2.119 for the Court of Claims](#)
Published at 503 Mich 1352 (2019)
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of LCR 2.119 for the Court of Claims that would require a moving party to affirmatively state that he or she has sought concurrence in the relief sought on a specific date, and opposing counsel denied concurrence in the relief sought.*
4. 2018-30 [Proposed Amendment of MCR 8.115](#)
Published at 503 Mich 1353 (2019)
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 8.115 that would explicitly allow the use of cellular phones (as well as prohibit certain uses) in a courthouse.*
5. 2018-31 [Proposed Amendment of Rule 2 of the Rules Concerning the State Bar of Michigan](#)
Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of Rule 2 of the Rules Concerning the State Bar of Michigan that would update and expand the rule slightly to include reference to a member's email address.*
6. 2018-36 [Proposed Amendment of MCR 3.802](#)
Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 3.802 that would eliminate references to the "noncustodial parent" to make the rule consistent with the statute (MCL 710.51) allowing stepparent adoption when the petitioning stepparent's spouse has joint legal custody.*
7. 2019-02 [Proposed Amendment of MCR 9.123](#)
Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 9.123 that would update the attorney discipline process for reinstatement*

of short-term suspensions and allow for abatement or modification of a condition in certain circumstances.

8. 2019-04

[Proposed Amendment of MCR 5.117](#)

Published at 504 Mich ____ (2019)

Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendment of MCR 5.117 that would clarify that the rules authorizing limited scope representation are explicitly applicable to cases that proceed in probate court.*